

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/451,160	BOAL, STEVEN R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James W Myhre	3622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James W Myhre.

(3) Steven R. Boal.

(2) Biran Dutton.

(4) Ronald P. Kananen.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 24 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stewart (5,835,061) and Payne et al (5,715,314).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required


**OFFICE ACTION**

1. The reply brief filed October 12, 2004 has been entered and considered. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.
2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exr. James W. Myhre whose telephone number is (703) 308-7843. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 6:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on (703) 305-8469. The fax phone number for Formal and Official faxes is (703) 872-9306. Draft or Informal faxes may be submitted directly to the examiner at (703) 746-5544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

  
JWM  
December 22, 2004

  
James W. Myhre  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3622

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant discussed how the invention differed from the references, specifically how the device was not providing information sufficient to identify the user. The Examiner noted that Stewart disclosed the remote device only transmitting its ID information and no information pertaining to the identity of the user. It was agreed to change the claims to clarify that the system could not use the remote device ID to correlate the device to a specific user. Likewise, it was agreed to change Claim 24 to indicate that future use of the URL by the user was being disabled, not just access to the URL itself. The Examiner indicated that these changes would probably overcome the cited art, but that further review and possible future searching for these specific limitations would be required..